RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 2 1 2004

Law Offices of SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT AND ROEDEL One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Telephone (314) 231-5400 Facsimile (314) 231-4342

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL COVER SHEET

DATE: January 21, 2004 ATTY. DOCKET #: PHA 2012.1 (3167/4Z/US)
PTO FACSIMILE NUMBER: (703) 872-9306
PLEASE DELIVER THIS FACSIMILE TO:
ATTN: Examiner Rebecca Cook
THIS FACSIMILE IS BEING SENT BY: Kathryn J. Doty
NUMBER OF PAGES: / O INCLUDING COVER SHEET
NUMBER OF PAGES: /O INCLUDING COVER SHEET TIME SENT: 2.42 PM OPERATOR'S NAME M. Susan Clements (CDT)
CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
I hereby certify that these papers are being transmitted via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents on the date shown below.
M. Susan Clements Typed or printed name of person signing certification
Typed or printed name of person signing certification
M. Suran Clemento January 20, 2004
M. Susan Clemento January 20, 2004 Signature Date
Type of papers transmitted: Supplement to Amendment B and
Response to Office Action; and PTO/SB/08A Information Disclosure
Statement (References to be Express Mailed w/Hard Copy)
Applicant's Name: John P. McKearn et al.
Reissue Serial No.: 09/857,873 Examiner: Rebecca Cook
Filing Date: October 5, 2001 Art Unit: 1614
Application Title: METHOD OF USING A CYCLOOXYGENASE-2 INHIBITOR AND ONE OR MORE ANTINEOPLASTIC AGENTS AS A COMBINATION THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF NEOPLASIA
TE VOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL PAGES CLEARLY, CALL BACK AS SOON AS

POSSIBLE. CONFIRMING NUMBER IS (314) 231-5400.

PHA 2012.1 (3167/4Z/US)
PATENT

Art Unit 1614

OIN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 2 I 2004

Application of J.P. McKearn et al.

Serial No. 09/857,873

Filed October 5, 2001

Confirmation No. 2817

For METHOD OF USING A CYCLOOXYGENASE-2 INHIBITOR AND ONE OR MORE ANTINEOPLASTIC AGENTS AS A COMBINATION THERAPY IN THE TREATMENT OF NEOPLASIA

Examiner Rebecca Cook

January 21, 2004

SUPPLEMENT TO AMENDMENT B AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS,

SIR:

This response is being filed in response to the Office action mailed August 7, 2003, and to supplement the Amendment B and Response to Office action filed November 7, 2003.

I. 35 U.S.C. 103(a) Rejection

In addition to Applicants' argument that there is no motivation to combine the prior art cited by the Office (as detailed in Amendment B), Applicants are submitting with this response two journal articles, Crane et al.¹, and Smith et al.², that each disclose unexpected results for the claimed combination of celecoxib and gemcitabine.

¹Crane et al., (2003) Am J Clin Oncol 26(4 suppl 2):S:81-S84.

²Smith et al., "Preliminary report of a phase II trial of gemcitabine combined with celecoxib for advanced pancreatic cancer" (Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol (2003) 22: page 374, Abstract No: 1502).

PHA 2012.1 (3167/4Z/US) PATENT

Briefly, Crane et al. test a number of different treatment regimes in a murine sarcoma model for pancreatic cancer.³ In particular, Figure 1 on page S82 discloses the impact on tumor size after administration of celecoxib alone, vehicle alone, gemcitabine alone, radiation alone (i.e, 30 Gy), celecoxib in combination with gemcitabine, celecoxib in combination with radiation, gemcitabine in combination with radiation, and celecoxib in combination with radiation and gemcitabine. In view of their results, Crane et al. report that "celecoxib and gemcitabine on their own caused tumor growth delay for several days, *but when combined their effect was additive*."⁴

In addition, it is reported in Smith et al. that "a combination of gemcitabine and celecoxib is active and well tolerated in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer."

The disclosure of Crane et al. and Smith et al. demonstrates the beneficial combination of celecoxib and gemcitabine, as compared to either compound administered alone, for use in treating or preventing neoplasia. Evidence of superior results not disclosed in the prior art is sufficient to establish non-obviousness.⁵ In view of the superior results demonstrated by the applicants for the claimed combination, claim 1 is patentable over the cited art.

II. Conclusion

In light of the foregoing, Applicants request entry of the attached publications along with the supplemental information disclosure enclosed with this response. Applicants also solicit an allowance of the claims. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney should any issues remain unresolved.

³Crane et al., (2003) Am J Clin Oncol 26(4 suppl 2):S:81-S84.

⁴Crane et al., at page S82, column 2 (emphasis added); and Figure 1.

⁵See In re Chupp, 816 F.2d 643, 646, 2 USPQ2d 1437 (Fed. Cir. 1987) and cases cited therein.

PHA 2012.1 (3167/4Z/US) PATENT

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this Response to Deposit Account 19-1345.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathryn J. Doty, Reg. No. 40,593 SENNIGER, POWERS, LEAVITT & ROEDEL

One Metropolitan Square, 16th Floor

St. Louis, Missouri 63102

(314) 231-5400

KJD/CDS/msc *Attachment/Enclosures

By Facsimile No. (703) 872-9306 and Express Mail Label EV 324379158 US